

09-19-00

PATENT
Docket No. PD-990184
CUSTOMER NO.: 020991

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR
NONPROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION
Under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b)

Certification under 37 CFR 1.10 (if applicable)

EJ398429554US

EXPRESS MAIL mailing number

September 18, 2000

Date of Deposit

I hereby certify that this application is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Ginger Fogle

(Type or printed name of person mailing application)

Ginger Fogle
(Signature of person mailing application)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application including five (5) sheets of drawings, Assignment, recordation Cover Letter and Declaration of inventors:

John Border
Matt Butehorn

For Time-Offset Distribution to Ensure Constant Power

The filing fee for this application is calculated below:

	CLAIMS AS FILED			
	NUMBER FILED		NUMBER EXTRA	RATE
Basic Fee				\$ 690.00
Total Claims	32	-20 =	12 x	\$ 18.00
Independent Claims	2	- 3 =	1 x	\$ 78.00
Multiple Dependent Claims			+	\$260.00
TOTAL FILING FEE :				\$ 906.00

Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0383 of Hughes Electronics Corporation, El Segundo, California, in the amount of \$906.00. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to that account.

The Commissioner is further hereby authorized to charge to said above Deposit Account No. 50-0383, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25(b), any fees whatsoever which may properly become due or payable, as set forth in 37 CFR 1.16 to 1.17 inclusive, for the entire pendency of this application without specific additional authorization.

Please associate this application with the Hughes Electronics Corporation Customer Number 020991.

This form is submitted in triplicate.

HUGHES ELECTRONICS CORPORATION



John T. Whelan
Reg. No.: 32,448

HUGHES ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
Patent Docket Administration
Bldg. 001, M/S A109
PO Box 956
El Segundo, CA 90245-0956
Telephone: (301) 601-7252
Date: September 18, 2000

SELECTIVE SPOOFER AND METHOD OF PERFORMING SELECTIVE SPOOFING

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of U.S. Provisional Application of John Border et al. entitled "Selective TCP Spoofing", serial no. 60/181,597, filed on February 10, 2000, and a U.S. Provisional Application of John Border et al. entitled "Performance Enhancing Proxy", serial no. 60/220,026, filed on July 21, 2000, the entire contents of both are incorporated by reference herein.

The present application is also related to co-pending application in the name of John Border et al., entitled "Performance Enhancing Proxy and Method for Enhancing Performance", filed concurrently herewith.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is generally directed to a method and apparatus for performing selective spoofing of protocols on network paths, and more particularly, a method and apparatus for selective spoofing of the TCP/IP protocol on the Internet, to improve performance.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART

The transmission control protocol (TCP) is the dominant protocol in use today on the Internet. TCP is carried by the Internet protocol (IP) and is used in a variety of applications including reliable file transfer and Internet web page access applications. The four layers of the TCP/IP protocol suite are illustrated in Fig. 1. As illustrated, the link layer (or the network interface layer) includes device drivers in the operating system and any corresponding network interface cards. Together, the device driver and

the interface cards handle hardware details of physically interfacing with any cable or whatever type of media is being used. The network layer (also called the Internet layer) 12 handles the movement of packets around the network. Routing of packets, for example, takes place at the network layer 12. IP, Internet control message protocol (ICMP), and Internet group management protocol (IGMP) may provide the network layer in the TCP/IP protocol suite. The transport layer 14 provides a flow of data between two hosts, for the application layer 16 above.

In the TCP/IP protocol suite, there are at least two different transport protocols, TCP and a user datagram protocol (UDP). TCP, which provides a reliable flow of data between two hosts, is primarily concerned with dividing the data passed to it from the application layer 16 into appropriately sized chunks for the network layer 12 below, acknowledging received packets, setting timeouts to make certain the other end acknowledges packets that are sent, and so on. Because this reliable flow of data is provided by the transport layer 14, the application layer 16 can ignore these details. UDP, on the other hand, provides a much simpler service to the application layer 16. UDP just sends packets of data called datagrams from one host to another, but there is no guarantee that the datagrams reach the other end. Any desired reliability must be added by the application layer 16.

The application layer 16 handles the details of the particular application. There are many common TCP/IP applications that almost every implementation provides. These include telnet for remote log-in, the file transfer protocol (FTP), the simple mail transfer protocol (SMTP) or

electronic mail, the simple network management protocol (SNMP), and many others.

As described above, TCP provides reliable, in-sequence delivery of data between two IP hosts. The IP hosts set up a TCP connection, using a conventional TCP three-way handshake and then transfer data using a window based protocol with the successfully received data being acknowledged.

TCP was designed to be very flexible and works over a wide variety of communication links, including both slow and fast links, high latency links, and links with low and high error rates. However, while TCP (and other high layer protocols) works with many different kinds of links, TCP performance, in particular, the throughput possible across the TCP connection, is affected by the characteristics of the link in which it is used. There are many link layer design considerations that should be taken into account when designing a link layer service that is intended to support Internet protocols. However, not all characteristics can be compensated for by choices in the link layer design. TCP has been designed to be very flexible with respect to the links which it traverses.

An alternative to a tailored protocol is the use of performance enhancing proxies (PEPs), to perform a general class of functions termed "spoofing," in order to improve performance over impaired (i.e., high latency or high error rate) links. Spoofing involves an intermediate network device (the performance enhancing proxy (PEP)) intercepting and altering, through the addition and/or deletion of TCP (in this example) segments, the behavior of the TCP connection in an attempt to improve its performance.

TCP protocol throughput performance suffers in environments with long delays and/or high bit error rates. TCP spoofing is used to improve TCP throughput by locally acknowledging TCP data received and then taking on the responsibility for delivering the data, using mechanisms tailored for the particular environment. For example, as set forth above, TCP is often used when an application must reliably send data from one end system to another end system. The TCP "sender" includes sequence numbers in the data it sends. The TCP "receiver" uses the sequence numbers to acknowledge data it has received. If a data segment is lost in transit, the TCP sender will not receive an acknowledgement for the segment and will retransmit it.

The rate at which a TCP sender can send data to a TCP receiver is limited by a window. The window defines how much data the TCP sender can have "in flight" to the receiver (i.e., how much data can be outstanding, awaiting acknowledgement). The TCP sender dynamically adjusts the size of the window based on network conditions, but the window has an upper bound determined by the TCP receiver's advertised available buffer space. The TCP throughput possible with a particular window size is determined by the rate at which acknowledgments are received. With any particular window size, longer acknowledgment time means lower throughput. The time it takes for TCP data to be acknowledged is known as the TCP round trip time (RTT).

TCP spoofing is a technique used to improve TCP throughput in environments with long RTTs. An example of such an environment is an environment that includes a high latency link, such as a geosynchronous satellite link. TCP spoofing works by having a gateway at the edge of a high latency link "locally" acknowledging TCP data it receives.

The local acknowledgments reduce the RTT perceived by the TCP sender, allowing the TCP sender to send more data more quickly, thereby improving throughput. The TCP spoofing gateway then uses protocol rules tailored for the high latency link for forwarding the data across the link. The TCP spoofing gateway also takes on the responsibility of retransmitting any packets lost after they have been acknowledged by the gateway. This can be done by buffering the data until an acknowledgment for the data is received from across the link and retransmitting data for which no acknowledgment is received.

As outlined above, conventional TCP spoofing implementations include the local acknowledgement of TCP data segments in order to get the TCP data sender to send additional data sooner than it would have sent if spoofing were not being performed, thus improving the throughput of the TCP connection. Generally, conventional TCP spoofing implementations have focused simply on increasing the throughput of the TCP connections either by using larger windows over the link or by using compression to reduce the amount of data which needs to be sent, or both.

However, not every application that desires reliable delivery of data requires a high throughput TCP connection. For example, a Telnet application typically operates by sending single messages back and forth between two end systems. These messages must be reliably delivered, but are small enough to each be sent in a single TCP segment. Another, more complex example is the file transfer protocol (FTP). An FTP application actually uses two TCP connections, one to send FTP control messages and the other to send data. The FTP application requires reliable delivery for both the control messages and the data. However, high

throughput is required only for the data TCP connection, not for the control TCP connection.

Existing TCP spoofing implementations allocate TCP spoofing resources (i.e., buffer space, control blocks, etc.) dynamically as TCP connections are established and detected by the TCP spoofing gateway, without taking into account the type of application which is using the TCP connection. All TCP connections, regardless of whether or not they will benefit from spoofing (i.e., will benefit from the high throughput), are spoofed up until all the TCP spoofing resources have been allocated. Any additional TCP connections which are detected must pass through unspoofed, even if they are associated with applications which require high throughput.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to a method and apparatus for enhancing the performance of a network.

The present invention is directed to a method and apparatus for enhancing the performance of a network by selectively spoofing connections, in particular, only spoofing connections for which spoofing will actually improve performance.

The selective spoofing functions of the present invention are applicable to a wide variety of communication links, including both slow and fast links, high latency links, and links with low and high error rates.

In one exemplary embodiment, the method and apparatus of the present invention discriminate among different connections and only apply spoofing resources (i.e., buffer space, control blocks etc.,) to connections for which spoofing will actually improve performance. In one example,

connections which can actually benefit from spoofing include connections with contain enough data to send to take advantage of the higher potential throughput. In one example, these connections are high speed, high data rate connections.

In another exemplary embodiment, which connections are spoofed is dynamically configurable either automatically or manually (via an operator), allowing the user or operator to decide which connections get improved performance based on local policy.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates the four layers of the conventional TCP/IP protocol suite.

Figure 2 illustrates an exemplary network in which the selective spoofer of the present invention is implemented.

Figure 3 illustrates the operation of the selective spoofer of the present invention in one exemplary embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Fig. 2 illustrates an exemplary network 100 in which the selective spoofer 122,142 of the present invention may be utilized. The network 100 in Fig. 2 includes a plurality of hosts 110 connected to a network gateway 120 via TCP connections. The network gateway 120 is connected to another network gateway 140 via a backbone connection on a backbone link 130. In Fig. 2, the backbone link 130 is depicted as a satellite link, however this is only exemplary. The network gateway 140 is further connected to a second group of hosts 150, also via TCP connections. In

the arrangement illustrated in Fig. 2, the network gateways 120, 140 and their associated selective spoofers 122, 142 facilitate communication between the groups of hosts 110, 150.

5 The operation of the selective spoofer 122 is described below in conjunction with the flowchart 200 of Figure 3. As set forth in step 210, the selective spoofer 122 discriminates among various TCP connections based on the applications using them. If the application is determined
10 to be eligible for TCP spoofing in step 220, then TCP resources are allocated (step 230) and TCP spoofing is performed (step 240). If the connection is not eligible for spoofing in step 220, it is forwarded unspoofed (step 250).

15 As a result, the selective spoofer 122 conserves TCP spoofing resources for only those TCP connections for which high throughput is required. The selective spoofer 122 also increases the number of TCP connections which can be active before running out of TCP spoofing resources, since any active TCP connections which do not require high throughput
20 will not be allocated spoofing resources.

 In the discriminating step 210, a variety of criterion may be used. In one example, the criterion for identifying TCP connections that are eligible for spoofing is the TCP port number field contained in the TCP packets being sent.
25 In general, unique port numbers are assigned to each type of application. Which TCP port numbers should and should not be spoofed can be stored in the selective spoofer 122. The selective spoofer 122 is also re-configurable to allow a user or operator to reconfigure the TCP port numbers which
30 should and should not be spoofed. The selective spoofer 122 also permits a user or operator to control which TCP connections are to be spoofed based on other criteria. In

general, a decision on whether to spoof a TCP connection may be based on any field within a TCP packet. The selective spoofer 122 permits a user to specify which fields to examine and which values in these fields identify TCP connections that should or should not be spoofed.

Another example of a potential use for this capability is for the user or operator to select the IP address of the TCP packet in order to control for which users TCP spoofing is performed. The selective spoofer 122 also permits a user to look at multiple fields at the same time. As a result, the selective spoofer 122 permits a user or operator to use multiple criteria for selecting TCP connections to spoof. For example, by selecting both the IP address and the TCP port number fields, the system operator can enable TCP spoofing for only specific applications from specific users.

The user configurable rules may include five exemplary criteria which can be specified by the user or operator in producing a selective TCP spoofing rule:

- Destination IP address;
- Source IP address;
- TCP port numbers (which may apply to both the TCP destination and source port numbers);
- TCP options; and
- IP differentiated services (DS) field.

As outlined above, in addition to supporting selective TCP spoofing rules for each of these criterion, AND and OR combination operators can be used to link criteria together. For example, using the AND combination operator, a rule can be defined to disable TCP spoofing for FTP data received from a specific host. Also, the order in which the rules are specified may be significant. It is possible for a connection to match the criteria of multiple rules.

Therefore, the selective spoofer 122 can apply rules in order specified by the operator, taking the action of the first rule that matches. A default rule may also be set which defines the action to be taken for TCP connections which do not match any of the defined rules. The set of rules selected by the operator may be defined in a selective TCP spoofing selection profile.

As an example, assume enough buffer space has been allocated to spoof five (5) TCP connections. If four (4) low speed applications (i.e. applications which, by their nature, do not require high speed) bring up connections along with one high speed application, the high speed connection has access to only 1/5 the available spoofing buffer space. Further, if five (5) low speed connections are brought up before the high speed connection, the high speed connection cannot be spoofed at all. Using the selective spoofer 122, the low speed connections are not allocated any spoofing buffer space. Therefore, the high speed connection always has access to all of the buffer space, improving its performance with respect to an implementation without the selective spoofer 122.

In summary, the selective spoofer 122 of the present invention adds to conventional spoofing the ability to discriminate among different TCP connections and only allocate TCP spoofing resources to those connections for which spoofing will actually improve performance.

Although the present invention has been described in conjunction with exemplary Figures 2-3, the present invention may be varied in many ways obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. For instance, although the present invention describes spoofing some connections based on their associated applications, any other performance

enhancing function known to one of ordinary skill in the art could also be implemented.

Similarly, although the various parameters discussed above in conjunction with Figures 2-3 include destination address, source address, destination port number, source port number, options, a differentiated services (DS) field, and type of data contained therein, any other parameters known to one of ordinary skill in the art could also be utilized.

Further, although the network parameter being improved above is throughput, selective spoofing could be employed to improve any other network parameter known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Further, the selective spoofing performance enhancing function may be implemented alone or in conjunction with other performance enhancing functions, including, but not limited to three-way handshake spoofing, local data acknowledgement, TCP Connection to Backbone Connection Multiplexing, data compression/encryption, connection prioritization, and connection path selection.

Further, although the present invention has been described above using the TCP, TCP/IP, or UDP protocols, any high layer protocol known to one of ordinary skill in the art could also be utilized. Although the present invention has been described above in conjunction with a satellite link, any impaired link, that is any link with at least one potentially negative parameter (high latency, high bit error rate, etc.) could also benefit from selective TCP spoofing features of the present invention. Although the various selective TCP spoofing features of the present invention have been described as taking place within a network gateway, these functions could be performed within any

network element, including, but not limited to, a host, a hub, a VSAT, a switch, and a router.

Further, although the functionality described above in conjunction with the present invention has been described as being originally resident within a network element, the
5 functionality may be added to an existing network element, via software loaded from an article of manufacture or software downloaded via a propagated signal.

1 1. A network apparatus, connected to other network entities
2 via a first type of connection and other network entities
3 via a second type of connection, comprising:

4 a spoofing element, which spoofs some of the multiple
5 connections of the first type based on their associated
6 applications.

1 2. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said spoofing
2 element only spoofs connections of the first type associated
3 with high throughput applications.

1 3. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said spoofing
2 element assigns spoofing resources, including buffer space
3 and control blocks, to the spoofed connections.

1 4. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said spoofing
2 element spoofs connections using at least one spoofing rule
3 based on destination address, source address, destination
4 port number, source port number, options, a differentiated
5 services (DS) field or combinations thereof.

1 5. The network apparatus of claim 4, wherein said spoofing
2 element defines the at least one spoofing rule in a spoofing
3 profile.

1 6. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said spoofing
2 element spoofs some of the multiple connections of the first
3 type based on at least one operator selectable criterion.

1 7. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein the first
2 connection uses a high layer protocol.

1 8. The network apparatus of claim 7, wherein the first
2 connection uses one of the Transmission Control Protocol
3 (TCP) and the User Datagram Protocol (UDP).

1 9. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein the second
2 connection is a backbone connection.

1 10. The network apparatus of claim 9, wherein the backbone
2 connection is via a wireless link.

1 11. The network apparatus of claim 10, wherein the wireless
2 link has high latency and high error rate.

1 12. The network apparatus of claim 10, wherein the wireless
2 link is a satellite link.

1 13. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said network
2 apparatus is a component of a network gateway.

1 14. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said network
2 apparatus is a component of a host.

1 15. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said network
2 apparatus is a component of a hub.

1 16. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said network
2 apparatus is a component of a switch.

1 17. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said network
2 apparatus is a component of a VSAT.

1 18. The network apparatus of claim 1, wherein said network
2 apparatus is a component of a router.

1 19. A method, comprising:
2 establishing multiple connections of a first type
3 associated with different applications; and
4 spoofing some of the multiple connections of the first
5 type based on their associated applications.

1 20. The method of claim 19, wherein said spoofing step only
2 spoofs connections of the first type associated with high
3 throughput applications.

1 21. The method of claim 19, wherein said spoofing step
2 assigns spoofing resources, including buffer space and
3 control blocks, to the spoofed connections.

1 22. The method of claim 19, wherein said spoofing step
2 spoofs connections using at least one spoofing rule based on
3 destination address, source address, destination port
4 number, source port number, options, a differentiated
5 services (DS) field or combinations thereof.

1 23. The method of claim 22, wherein said spoofing step
2 defines the at least one spoofing rule in a spoofing
3 profile.

1 24. The method of claim 19, wherein said spoofing step
2 spoofs some of the multiple connections of the first type
3 based on at least one operator selectable criterion.

1 25. The method of claim 19, wherein the first connection
2 uses a high layer protocol.

1 26. The method of claim 25, wherein the first connection
2 uses one of the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and the
3 User Datagram Protocol (UDP).

1 27. The method of claim 19, wherein said method is
2 performed in a network gateway.

1 28. The method of claim 19, wherein said method is performed
2 in a host.

1 29. The method of claim 19, wherein said method is
2 performed in a hub.

1 30. The method of claim 19, wherein said method is
2 performed in a switch.

1 31. The method of claim 19, wherein said method is
2 performed in a VSAT.

1 32. The method of claim 19, wherein said method is
2 performed in a router.

ABSTRACT

A method and apparatus for enhancing the performance of
5 a network by performing selective spoofing. Selective
spoofing provides the ability to discriminate among
different connections and only allocate spoofing resources
to those connections for which spoofing will actually
improve performance. The selective spoofing functions
10 described are applicable to a wide variety of communication
links, including both slow and fast links, high latency
links, and links with low and high error rates. The
selective spoofing functions may be implemented either alone
or in combination with other performance enhancing features,
15 such as, spoofing the conventional TCP three-way handshake,
local data acknowledgement, multiplexing multiple
connections across a single connection, data
compression/encryption, prioritization, and path selection.
The selective spoofing features described are particularly
20 useful for links with high latency and/or high bit error
rates.

Figure 1




Figure 2





Figure 3

200



**COMBINED DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION
AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

Page 1 of 2
PD-990184

- ☒ Original
☐ Continuation
☐ Division
☐ Continuation-in-part
☐ Supplemental

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **Selective Spoofer and Method of Performing Selective Spoofing**

the specification of which
 (check one) ☒ is attached hereto.
 was filed on _____ as Application Serial No. _____ and (a)
 [other than supplemental] was amended on or (b) [supplemental] with amendments
 through _____.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by an amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the United States Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119(a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e) of any provisional application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>60/181,597</u>	<u>February 10, 2000</u>	<u>pending</u>	Priority Claimed
Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<u>60/220,026</u>	<u>7-21-00</u>	<u>pending</u>	Priority Claimed
Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> NO
		(patented, pending, abandoned)	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the United States Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:



_____	_____	_____
Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status
		(patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint the following attorneys, or agent and attorneys, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

John T. Whelan	Registration No. 32,448
Michael W. Sales	Registration No. 30,213
Craig L. Plastrik	Registration No. 41,254.

Address all telephone calls to: (301) 428-7172. Address all correspondence to **Customer Number 020991 (Hughes Electronics Corporation, Patent Docket Administration, Bldg. 001, M/S A109, PO Box 956, El Segundo, California 90245-0956).**

I hereby declare that all statement made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR JOINT INVENTOR John Border	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE 	DATE 9/14/00
RESIDENCE (CITY AND STATE) Germantown, Maryland	CITIZENSHIP USA	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS 19605 Selby Avenue, Poolesville, Maryland 20837		
FULL NAME OF SOLE OR JOINT INVENTOR Matthew Butehorn	INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE 	DATE 9/12/00
RESIDENCE (CITY AND STATE) Mt. Airy, Maryland	CITIZENSHIP USA	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS 1230 Leafy Hollow Circle, Mt. Airy, Maryland 21771		